

- I. Call to Order (Councilor Bill Moseley)
- II. Introductions (Group)  
Present: Bill Moseley, Casey Roats (phone), Eric King, Brady Fuller, Don Horton, Nathan Hovecamp, Bill Smith, Todd Taylor, Paul McClanahan  
Public Members: Foster Fell, Craig Lacy, and Chris Miller
- III. Committee Scope
  1. City Council approved a motion to create a MP Funding Subcommittee, reviewed funding options in a work session;
    - a. Council preferred franchise fee, in kind contributions, user fee and general fund contribution
    - b. Parks aligned with similar funding options to consider
  2. Logistics
    - a. Public meeting; Notes being recorded and will be posted on the City web site
    - b. Subcommittee of Council meeting with a subcommittee of Parks
    - c. Mirror Pond Solutions (MPS) is a participant of the meeting
  3. Public comment
    - a. Craig Lacy
      - i. Fish passage a key part of the project, recommend UDWC or ODFW make a formal presentation on the issue
      - ii. 80% of silt returns after dredging (reference Bulletin article), community needs to understand this dynamic
    - b. Foster Fell
      - i. Chris Telfer- opportunity for fish passage discussed at community meeting
      - ii. No evidence that the City of Bend is responsible for sediment- see Apex study
      - iii. Study also revealed No buildup of toxins in Mirror Pond
- IV. Review and Summary of Relevant History
  1. Handout of History/Facts- any questions or clarification needed? Updates on progress:
    - a. Parks- 60% design, contractor on board in 6 weeks or less
    - b. MPS- requesting extension of DSL Permit, all other permits have a long timeframe
    - c. City- continuing to work with Parks on outfall integration
  2. Questions, clarifications and other updates
    - a. MP cannot be a free flowing river without consent from Pacific Power
    - b. General interest in fish passage expressed by the group
    - c. Pacific Power should be included in future meetings
      - i. A FERC license and inclusion of a Fish Ladder may help optimize the use of the dam to generate power
      - ii. Craig Lacy- FERC would also require fish screens and a dredging fund
- V. Key Values to Inform Funding Strategy
  1. Do not take away resources and/or reduce public agency services levels
  2. Maximize synergy of other elements of the project
  3. Sustainable funding
  4. Funding to help prevent additional sediment into the river and/or keeping the river healthy
  5. Move towards solutions that account for the facts as they are today

## VI. Discussion of Funding Options

1. Funding matrix was reviewed (see handout)
  - a. Per MOU, Parks is willing to accept MPS property once dredging is complete as long as there is sustainable funding in place
  - b. Asset management strategy is important- need an ongoing revenue stream
2. Parks was concerned about a user fee; if fee is charged, recreational immunity is not available
3. Need to distinguish between ongoing and one-time funding opportunities

## VII. Next Steps and Action Items

1. Summary of agreed upon action items
  - a. Open to the idea of including a fish ladder in the project- Eric King will invite Pacific Power to next meeting
  - b. Possibly include other partners to help understand cost and feasibility of a fish ladder (ODFW and/or Upper Deschutes Watershed Council)
  - c. Don Horton will contact North Unit to explore potential cost estimates of recent dam construction projects
  - d. Eric King will Invite County on reducing or eliminating dumping fees- Taylor NW will also entertain discussions with the County
  - e. There is a desire to keep fish ladder permit separate from the dredging permit
  - f. Begin developing a pro-forma to see what the revenue stream would generate, an option presented by MPS included:
    - i. Possible mix of \$1.5M contribution from Public/Parks (\$500k each)
    - ii. 6.25% or 9 years, then goes back to 5.25% from the 9th year on
    - iii. Annual payments of \$760k
  - g. Need to address how a project would be implemented- adhering to public procurement requirements and other project delivery considerations
  - h. Need for 3rd party validation of cost estimates
  - i. Need to provide opportunity for public comment
2. Next meeting: Friday, Nov. 7th at 10am

To submit public comment, please email [Citymanager@bendoregon.gov](mailto:Citymanager@bendoregon.gov)