

Sewer Infrastructure Advisory Group Meeting Summary	June 20, 2013
	4:00- 5:30 p.m.
	City Council Chambers
	Note taker: Adele McAfee
<p>Committee Members: Casey Roats, Mike Riley, Craig Horrell, Charley Miller, Steve Galash, Sharon Smith, Rob Von Rohr, Steve Hultberg, Bruce Aylward, Wes Price, Dale Van Valkenburg, Lynn Putnam, Nathan Boddie</p> <p>COB Staff: Tom Hickmann, Paul Rheault, Jon Skidmore, Aaron Collett, Russ Grayson, Mary Winters, Shannon Osterdorf, Brian Rankin,</p> <p>Consultants: David Stangel (MSA)</p> <p>Facilitator: Clark Worth (Barney & Worth)</p> <p>Absent: John Rexford, Stacey Stemach, Pam Hardy, Andy High</p> <p>Others: Jim Lord, Erik Huffman, Councilor Knight, John Russell</p>	

Action Items:

- DEQ to come to SIAG in October
- Material and presentation for public outreach need to be developed in November /December
- Incorporate suggestion and send out revised community outreach plan

Meeting Summary

Approval of meeting minutes

Mike Riley corrected the meeting notes of 5/16/2013 :Page 1, South East Interceptor: Before the committee makes a recommendation they requested this project to be brought back to SIAG after initial optimization results.

The meeting minutes were approved as corrected by consensus.

Updates

Water Reclamation Facility Open House was held earlier in the day. The Regional Director from DEQ was in attendance and mentioned that there was 38.8 million in low interest loan money invested in this project. DEQ may come to SIAG to discuss the agency’s regulatory concerns with existing septic.

Optimization - Review Sensitivity Analysis Approach

This presentation was carried over from the 5/16/13 SIAG meeting for the purpose of reviewing several presented pieces of the analysis and discuss where the sensitivity analysis could be applied.

- Cost Optimization: Ranges of cost and sensitivity to cost for Water Treatment and Pipeline alternatives.
- Life Cost Analysis –40 years
- Electricity/ Power Costs
- Concept of Value and useful remaining life for gravity pipe and manhole type solutions
- Loading and Growth rates
- Wet Weather Calibration

What is the rationale in suggesting the 40 year economic life?

After reviewing an industry analysis, the group decided to look at the 40 year range. Systems have lasted longer than they have in the past. Also, the farther out you go the more unrealistic the cost assumption become. Finance is working on the discount rate.

Where is the fairness when comparing alternatives?

The intent of the analysis is not to be fair but balanced out with value and useful life.

A discussion ensued about the 100 year solution and financial constraints.

What can be considered regarding the UGB expansion?

The task of this committee and this process is to come up with a plan that will serve the current UGB. The city will take the plan that is developed by SIAG and consider various expansions scenarios and how it will affect the system that SIAG has recommended.

What are we committed to doing?

- Wet weather sensitivity/ ranges of flow and impact on infrastructure
- Cost rate sensitivity analysis for storage and treatment
- Population growth rate sensitivity or loading rate sensitivity for conservation
- There will be another sensitivity analysis that will be identified in November

There is a limit of what can be done within the current scope.

What is in the base?

- Medium density
- 10 % growth
- Special Areas

How do you predict “x” amount of people moving?

The consultants have worked with Planning Department towards build out of the current UGB. This will occur in approximately 20 years. The location of the population assumptions are based on the City's land-use codes. Each parcel is associated with an individual manhole within the service system.

The consultants will make an effort to guide the group based on what they see in the analysis and recommend where to apply the sensitivity analysis. The analysis will also show what shouldn't be done.

Community Outreach Plan

One of the initial assignments of the group is to carry the discussion out into the community, individually and as a group. The steering committee has worked on an outreach plan to begin the message out.

The draft community outreach plan was distributed.

Starting summer and fall there would be presentation with advisory group members paired with city staff. Starting early next year there would be more presentations. A group list was reviewed. Sign in sheets were passed around.

- Everyone should do one or two to groups where they have an association.
- Materials will be provided
- Go out to the community with solutions

An advisory group member suggested going to City Council after the first optimization results and then go out to the community. The city council would then be educated and able to answer questions from the community.

A discussion regarding the financial aspects and funding options ensued.

Schedule Change

The schedule changes were reviewed. The meeting in November will be longer.

Meeting adjourned: 5:21 PM