Sewer Infrastructure Advisory Group Meeting Summary	Sept 20, 2012 3:00- 5:00
	Bend Park & Recreation, Riverside Community Room
	Note taker: Adele McAfee

In Attendance:

Committee Members: Andy High, Casey Roats, Lynn Putnam, Mike Riley, Dale Van Valkenburg, Craig Horrell, Steven Hultberg, Charley Miller, Steve Galash, Stacey Stemach, Nathan Boddie,

Absent with prior arrangement: Bruce Alyward, Pam Hardy, Craig Moore, John Rexford, Sharon Smith, Wes Price

COB Staff: Tom Hickmann, Paul Rheault, Jon Skidmore, Aaron Collett, Reese Moody, Jim Wodrich Facilitators: Clark Worth, Libby Barg (Barney & Worth)

Meeting Summary

Agenda item: Welcome / Updates

- SIAG website updated with new information (http://bendoregon.gov/index.aspx?page=841)
- City Council work session (10/3/12) report
- August 23, 2012 / September 6 collection system tour feedback

Agenda item: City Council Resolution

Reviewed 5/16/2012 City Council CSMP Resolution

Agenda Item: Water Reclamation Facility (WRF)

- Jim Wodrich presented information on the WRF project.
- Discussion question: Are there remaining questions / issues regarding the decision to move forward with the wastewater facility expansion?
- SIAG questions:
 - o What is the budget?
 - Will past decisions on the WRF and SE Interceptor prejudice the optimization analysis because they are not classified as fixed assets?
 - o Does the 2.5 additional capacity get us to 2030?
 - o What do we need in additional capacity?
 - o What is the bearable utility rate increase?
 - o What are the DEQ requirements?
- Summary of SIAG feedback:
 - SIAG's task is to assure community priorities are being met through the collection system master planning process.
 - The group acknowledged the WRF decision has been made and the model will be biased, but they want to move on to collection system planning.
 - Acknowledging the WRF decision has been made should not be considered an endorsement of the project.

Agenda item: South East Interceptor

- Jim Wodrich presented information on the SE Interceptor.
- Discussion question: What is the best option for moving forward on the
- SIAG questions / comments:
 - o What growth projection was used?
 - o What is the cost of putting the pipe in the ground?
 - o What is the cost with just the pipe?
 - o What is the cost without the pipe?
 - o What is the cost sewer pipe after the road has been built?
 - o If pipe is put in how much is the stranded investments?
 - o How many pump stations come off-line?
 - o Will this be a stranded investment if the SE interceptor does not go in?
 - o Who is paying for the project? Is ODOT paying any part of this?
 - o Was there analysis completed to see if storage would provide system capacity?
 - o Is the interceptor a likely part of the long-term solution?
 - o What segments can be built that have individual functionality?
 - Why is the committee not looking at short term solutions to problems area that have been identified?
- Summary of SIAG feedback:
 - Lacking adequate information to compare options the committee declined to provide feedback on the best option for moving forward on the SE Interceptor.
 - o Acknowledged City Council would make the decision on the SE Interceptor.
 - Restated their interest in moving forward on the collection system master planning process.
- Bruce White written comments on the SE Interceptor provided to committee (see attached).

To Do: Move financial meeting to an earlier date.

Meeting adjourned at 5:04 PM