

**August 12, 2013**

**Infrastructure Advisory Committee (IAC)**

**Committee Members Present:** Frank Turek, James Gattey, Nancy Loveland, Casey Roats, Ray Auerbach and Tom Stutheit

**Absent:** Andy High

**COB Staff:** Tom Hickmann, Patrick Griffiths, and Jeff England (arrived at 3:55 p.m.)

**1. IAC Business (Co-Chair Jim Gattey 3:00-5:00 p.m.)**

**a. Call to Order, Roll Call, Determine Quorum**

The meeting was called to order at 3:07 p.m.

**2. Update regarding IAC role in overall city infrastructure meeting with Councilors Barram and Capell. (Co-Chair Jim Gattey 3:05- 4:30 p.m.)**

**a. General Discussion**

Mr. Gattey explained that standing committees have to be established by an ordinance, which the IAC is. Their functions are established by City Code. A chair needs to be elected at the first meeting of each year.

Mr. Hickmann will pull minutes from the first IAC meeting to determine what the terms of office will be. He thought it would be discussed on a volunteer basis.

The IAC discussed whether or not meetings were needed every other week. The IAC agreed to hold once a month meetings and adding an additional meeting if needed.

The IAC determined that it would be best that members of other committees provide feedback to the IAC. Any recommendations from these groups would go to the IAC prior to going to Council.

Mr. Hickmann responded by explaining Council's goal with the IAC which is to create stability with projects between reelections.

Mr. Hickmann presented the major points from the meeting held on Friday with Councilors Capell and Barram and City Manager Eric King. They were as follows:

- IAC Members will receive invites to the other committee meetings. They can attend if they wish.
- Better coordination between IAC and other groups.
- Add two members to the IAC within the next 3-6 months. Will be appointed by Council.
- Bring recommendations through the IAC then to Council.
- Hold regularly scheduled quarterly meetings with the IAC and Council.

Mr. Gattey asked if Council feels that they need to include a member from the “slow growth” community. Mr. Hickmann said that Council seems to want to include someone from the opposition. He would recommend including someone opposed to the project based on environmental reasons.

It will also have to be determined what the terms will be for the new members.

Mr. Auerbach clarified the decision made to include committee members on subcommittees.

**3. Bridge Creek Pipeline Replacement Project Update (Tom Hickmann P.E., EIPD Director 4:30 – 4:40 p.m.)**

Mr. Hickmann provided the project update stating that the public comment period closes September 10. Bend will be the first to follow the new NEPA rules. The new rules say that at the end of this public comment period, the Forest Service has at their discretion whether or not they want to solve the presented issues. They will likely implement some of the old rules. There is no longer a 15 day stay. November 1 is the planned start date. This date was established because the construction start date will be May 2014. The guaranteed maximum price (GMP) contract has expired.

The pipe suppliers were told to begin fabrication. The intake construction would most likely not begin until spring.

Mr. Hickmann explained generally what would happen if a decision was made and the opposition appealed the decision.

Mr. Roats confirmed that the Federal funding for the road project is a significant piece of the project.

**4. CSMP Update – System Deficiency Analysis (Casey Roats, Andy High SIAG/IAC Committee member, Tom Hickmann P.E., EIPD Director 4:40- 4:50 p.m.)**

Mr. Hickmann and Mr. Roats provided an update on the CSMP.

Mr. Hickmann presented the following slides:

- Deficiency Analysis Results Existing and UGB build-out Conditions.
- Purpose of Presentation
- City of Bend Collections System Master Plan
- Addendum 4 Improvement Map
- Condition Review
- Map Showing Timing of Improvements Needed

- Gravity Piping Condition Replacement Value
- Lift Station Improvement Costs
- Total Condition Related Improvements Identified in 20 Years
- Flow Comparison
- 2011 Addendum 4
- 2013 CSMP vs. 2011 Addendum 4
- What Happens Now?

Mr. Roats clarified that although this is good news, a really ambitious plan is needed to invest in sewer for the future. Mr. Hickmann agreed that if this opportunity isn't taken advantage of to address sewer needs, Bend will be in a lot of trouble.

Mr. Hickmann provided an update on the Sewer Infrastructure Advisory Group (SIAG) and showed the following slides which were presented to SIAG:

- Purpose of Today's Meeting
- Immediate Term Problems
- Review of Immediate Problem.....
- Colorado LS Project Development
- Colorado LS Loading Scenario 1 (3 slides)
- Colorado LS Loading Scenario 2 (3 slides)
- Colorado LS Loading Scenario 3 (3 slides)
- Colorado LS Loading Scenario 4 (3 slides)
- Colorado LS Loading Scenario 5 (3 slides)

SIAG chose option 3 which was different from what staff recommended.

## **5. Other Business (4:50-5:00 p.m.)**

Mr. Hickmann suggested the IAC align themselves with the other committees.

The SIAG will be meeting on September 12 to discuss finances and financial issues. Mr. Hickmann suggested the IAC join the September SIAG meeting. Then the IAC would hold a separate meeting to discuss their recommendations.

Mr. Auerbach said that the facilitator needs to understand that the IAC members will make comments at the meeting.

Mr. Hickmann will include the IAC on other committee meeting invites.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:20 p.m.